Anesthesiology Clerkship Medical Student Evaluation George Washington University Hospital

Student Name						
Evaluator		From Dates:	to			
Amount of Contact with Student:						
Extensive - (more than 10 hours)	□ Mode	erate - (4 to 10 l	hours)	Minimal - (1 to 4)	hours)	
Rating Scale: The following rating scale app						
expected performance and the extremes of p						
student performance.	Jerror mance are	offered. Ally s	core below 3 requ	ii es a nai i auve (iescription of	
student per for mance.						
	5 4 3 2 1					N/A
	Outstanding.	Excellent.	Very good. At	Marginal	Unacceptable.	1 1/11
	outstanding.	<u> </u>	expected level	performance,	Списсершые	
			for GW	remediation		
			student.	suggested.		
Cognitive Skills			Student.	suggesteu.		
Medical Knowledge: Solid fund of knowledge.						
Shows a good understanding of pathophysiology,						
diagnosis, and treatment. Can access biomedical						
resources necessary to inform care.						
Demonstrates knowledge of evidence-based						
medicine principles.						
Ability to Organize Data: Usually able to						
organize information logically. Usually able to						
formulate a reasonable description of the clinical						
information.						
Ability to Synthesize Data into an Assessment:						
Usually able to reach a logical assessment of the						
patient and their problems. Good ability to						
develop a differential diagnosis and discriminate						
more likely from less likely causes. Ability to Formulate a Plan for Diagnosis or						
Treatment: Usually able to develop a logical						
plan for care. Aware of most common						
appropriate treatment and diagnostic						
intervention. Can access relevant clinical						
guidelines for cost-effective care and identify						
system resources for acute and chronic disease						
management. Can critically appraise the						
interventions and identify potential approaches to						
disease prevention						
Clinical Skills						
History Taking: Usually complete. Good						
organization. Very good ability to establish						
rapport. Good sensitivity to patients. Can usually detect hidden agendas. Usually includes						
all important information.						
Physical Examination: Generally thorough						
examinations performed. Minor omissions, all						
major findings elicited.						
Technical/Procedural Skills: Proficient.						
Exhibits appropriate care. Minimizes patient						
discomfort and risk.						
Information Presentation						
Oral Presentations: Presentations are clear and						
usually well organized. They usually include a						
clear summary of historical information and an						
appropriate differential diagnosis and treatment						
plan.					-	
Written Presentations: Thorough, neat, well						X
organized and clear. Patient Communications: Demonstrates	 				 	1
effective communication skills with patients and						
families including sensitivity to patient literacy,						
initiately,			1	1	1	1

beliefs, culture, and emotional and cognitive state. Can inform patients of the risks and							
benefits of common interventions, participate in	,						
shared decision making, and obtain informed							
consent. Can describe skills and strategies for							
engaging patients in difficult conversations such	h						
as those about serious diagnoses or end of life							
care.							
Professionalism							
In each domain, appropriate performance is	5	4	3	2	1	N/A	
indicated at the left of the scale (a numerical		-		_	_	11/11	
rating of ANY NUMERICAL RATING THAT	i						
IS LESS THAN 5 IS UNSATISFACTORY							
AND MUST BE SUPPORTED BY A							
SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF THE							
STUDENT'S BEHAVIOR. If you have not							
observed a characteristic please indicate "N/A."	,						
Truthfulness: Exhibits truthfulness;							
trustworthy; displays honesty at all times.							
Adherence to Ethical Principles: Models ethical behavior.							
Responsibility: Punctual; meets deadlines;							
complies with policies; assumes responsibility.							
Teamwork: Is cooperative; constructively							
contributes; shows respect for authority and							
others; helps the team function smoothly.							
Interprofessional Team Relationships: Is							
equally inclusive and attentive to all members;	,						
shows sensitivity and respect for all professiona	al						
roles/backgrounds/authority; encourages other							
team members to appreciate different							
perspectives/points of view; incorporates ideas from other professionals/points of view into car							
planning and delivery as appropriate.	re						
Compassion: Empathic and sensitive to others	· ·						
maintains objectivity; shows appropriate concer							
for others.							
Motivation and Drive: Sets and achieves							
realistic goals; seeks to develop additional							
knowledge; conscientious, strives for excellence	e.						
Relationship, Autonomy, Confidentiality:							
Demonstrates sensitivity to patients; respects							
patient autonomy, confidentiality, believes, and	l l						
culture; recognizes personal or professional							
conflicts that may affect care.							
	·						
Comments for the above professionalism section:							
Global Rating Scale:							
Outstanding Excellent Very Good At expected level Marginal performance Unaccentable							

Outstanding	Excellent	Very Good. At expected level for GW student.	Marginal performance, remediation suggested.	Unacceptable performance
5	4	3	2	1

Please comment on the overall performance of the student.	Some narrative is required (please explain an	y Fail and Honor
performance grades).		

	performance grades).	
Γ	Comments - Areas of Strength:	
t	Comments - Areas for Improvement:	
	Comments - Areas for improvement.	
L		
I	Evaluator's Signature: Date:	